UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. Rodolfo Bujanos Defendant	Case No. 1:14-cr-89
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Re efendant be detained pending trial.	form Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Fi	indings of Fact
(1)		in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is	death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term o	f ten years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had be U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	en convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 e or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but in	volves:
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm a failure to register under 18 U.S.0	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon C. § 2250
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed vor local offense.	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the _offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presurperson or the community. I further find that defendant	mption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothent has not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternativ	ve Findings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant	t has committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et s under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)		ablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.
	•	ve Findings (B)
√ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app	pear.
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	ger the safety of another person or the community.
	Part II – Statement of	the Reasons for Detention
evidence	✓ a preponderance of the evidence that:	ne detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing
defense	counsel requested entry of an order of detention bed	ause of the pendency of an ICE detainer.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: _	May 29, 2014	Judge's Signature: /s/ Joseph G. Scoville	
		Name and Title: Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge	